



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCULISTIC States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,417	07/03/2001	Michael R. Rosen	65219-A/JPW/PJP	3315
	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			WHITEMAN, BRIAN A	
New York, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1635	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	
ON	

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/898,417	ROSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian Whiteman	1635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

	If theIf NOFailuAny r	r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Sta	tus						
	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2004.					
2	2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.			
	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dis	positi	on of Claims					
	4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
		4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-8,17-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
		Claim(s) <u>1-3,15,16,32-35</u> is/are rejected.					
		Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are objected to.					
	8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election re	equirement.			
Ар	plicati	ion Papers					
	, —	The specification is objected to by t					
	10)[) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
				e held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Pri	ority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12)[Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
		1. Certified copies of the priorit					
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
		application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Λ#+	chmen	at(c)					
_	_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) [Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) [mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/17/04 has been entered.

Claims 1-12 and 15-35 are pending.

Applicant's traversal and the amendment to claims 1, 2, and 15 in paper filed on 2/17/04 is acknowledged and considered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 4-8 and 17-31 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper filed 11/8/02.

Note: The statement by the examiner that, "Election was made with traverse" in the final rejection mailed on 9/23/03 is incorrect. The election was made without traverse. See paper mailed on 1/30/03.

Claims 10, 12, 33, and 35 are rejoined with the elected species because there is no prior art of record against claims 9 and 32.

Art Unit: 1635

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the status (e.g., pending, abandoned, patented US Patent No.) of US application listed in the cross reference is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 32-35 are objected to because of the following informalities: In view of the revised amendment practice 37 CFR 1.121 (Effective July 30, 2003) the status of the claims 9 and 32-35 is improper. The correct status for claims 9 and 32-35 is (previously presented).

Appropriate correction is required.

When filing the amendment to this instant action, applicants are reminded to follow the revised amendment practice 37 CFR 1.121. See 68 Fed. Reg. 38611 (June 30, 2003) or website http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/.

Claims 9-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the connection between "compound" and the methods of "contacting". It is not clear whether the compound in the last sentence applies only to "co-culturing the cell" or to all the modes of delivery.

Claims 33-35 are also rejected under 112 second paragraph because they are dependent on claim 32.

Recommend amending claim 32 to read as follows: -- The method of claim 1, wherein the compound is a nucleic acid encoding MiRP1 and HCN, and the nucleic acid is introduced into the cell by adenovirus infection, viral-mediated infection, liposome-mediated transfer, microinjection, electroporation or the cell is cultured in the presence of the nucleic acid.

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Egdell et al., (Cardiovascular Research 47:769-777, 2000) as evident by Xiao et al., (JBC, 269:19151-19156, 1994). Egdell teaches a method of assaying how caffeine affects the beating rate of guinea pig cardiac myocytes using caffeine application under voltage clamp conditions (pages 769-770). Egdell teaches measuring the beating rate before and after exposing the cells to caffeine (pages 770-771). Egdell teaches using calcium sensitive dye indo-1 to measure the beating rate in the cardiac myocytes (pages 774-775).

Xiao teaches using a photodiode to measure indo-1 in cardiac cells (page 19152). Thus, the method taught by Egdell would anticipate using photodiode in the method.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wheeler et al., (Anesthesiology 86:137-146, 1997) as evident by Xiao et al., (JBC, 269:19151-19156, 1994). Wheeler teaches studying the response of isolated rat heart cells to halothane, enflurane and isoflurane (page 137). Wheeler teaches measuring the beating rate before and after exposing the cells to the compounds (pages 137-138). Wheeler teaches measuring calcium concentration using the calcium-sensitive dye indo-1 (pages 137 and 139).

Art Unit: 1635

Xiao teaches using a photodiode to measure indo-1 in cardiac cells (page 19152). Thus, the method taught by Wheeler would anticipate using photodiode in the method.

Claims 1, 2, 3, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawana et al., (Comp. Biochem Physiol, 107C: 295-304, 1994). Kawana teaches a method of assaying whether an agent affects the beating rate of cardiac myocytes (pages 296-299). Kawana teaches a) isolating myocytes from a heart of rat and plating the myocytes on a plate (page 296); b) pre-treating myocytes with propranolol (PROP) or a combination of PROP and prazosin (PRZ); c) measuring the beating rate of the myocytes before and after treatment of myocytes with a drug (page 297); d) comparing the beating rate before and after treatment (pages 299-303).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed, with respect to 112 first paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 15, 16, 32 and 34 has been withdrawn because of the amendment of the claims to recite -- beating rate of a cardiac cell --.

Applicant's arguments, filed, with respect to 112 second paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 2 has been withdrawn because of the amendment of the claims to recite -- cardiac cell --.

Conclusion

Claims 9-12 and 32-35 are free of the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Whiteman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 to 4:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, SPE - Art Unit 1635, can be reached at (571) 272-0760.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sott D. Priche

Brian Whiteman Patent Examiner, Group 1635